

IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

WRIT PETITION NO. 387 /2005.

PETITIONER

: Dilharan Tiwari @ Mukesh  
Tiwari, aged about 38yrs.,  
S/o Late Parmeshwar Tiwari,  
R/o-Q.No. P.W.D.-24, Ploice Line  
Sector-6, Bhilai Nagar,  
Dist- Durg (C.G.)  
Legal representative of Late  
Smt. Sarswati Bai Dubey, W/o  
Late Parmeshwar Tiwari, Head  
Constable, No.-187 (Female),  
Police Control Room, Bhilai  
Nagar, Tah & Distt.- Durg (C.G.)

37A/05  
P.R. No. 37A/05  
Presented by Sri. U. H. Pandey  
dated 29-01-05

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS

- 1) State of Chhattisgarh,  
Through: Secretary Home  
Department, Govt. of  
Chhattisgarh, Mantralaya  
D.K.S. Bhavan, Raipur (C.G.)
- 2) Director General of Police,  
Chhattisgarh,  
Raipur (C.G.)
- 3) Inspector General of Police  
Raipur Range, Raipur  
Police Head Quarter,  
Raipur (C.G.)
- 4) Superintendent of Police  
Durg (C.G.)

29-01-05  
29-01-05



WRIT PETITION U/A 226/227 OF CONSTITUTION OF INDIA  
FOR ISSUANCE OF WRIT IN THE NATURE OF  
CERTIORARI, MANDAMUS AND OTHER SUITABLE WRIT  
DIRECTION OR ORDER.

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

मामला क्रमांक W.P.No. 387/05 सन् 200

## आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

| आदेश का दिनांक<br>तथा आदेश क्रमांक | हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                       | कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार<br>के अन्तिम आदेश |
|------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------|
|                                    | <p><b>04.03.2005</b></p> <p>Shri Uttam Pandey, counsel for the petitioner.</p> <p>Shri Sandeep Dubey, Govt. Advocate for the State.</p> <p>Heard.</p> <p>The main grievance of the petitioner is that the records of the original application No.192/98 filed before the State Administrative Tribunal, Jabalpur, has not been transferred to this Court till date. The present petitioner is the applicant before the Tribunal. The prayer is that the records of the said original application be called or transferred from the State Administrative Tribunal, bench at Raipur, as early as possible and the matter be disposed of in accordance with law. Counsel for the petitioner submits that since the S.A.T. has been abolished, there is no impediment in transferring the matter to this Court as the matters are to be transferred in a routine manner.</p> <p>State does not oppose this limited prayer.</p> <p>The prayer is allowed.</p> <p>Let the records of O.A.No.192/98 be transferred to the High Court from S.A.T., Raipur.</p> <p>The petition stands finally disposed of.</p> <p>Accordingly, I.A.No.808/2005 for exemption from filing the original copy of the impugned order also stands disposed of.</p> <p>Certified copy as per rules.</p> |                                                           |

Sd/-  
Sunil Kumar Sinha  
Judge

Bila

Dat